

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

KYLE CANTY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KING COUNTY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C16-1655-RAJ-JPD

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S PENDING
MOTIONS

This is a civil rights action proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes before the Court at the present time on plaintiff's motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404, plaintiff's motion to challenge clerks of the court, and plaintiff's motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A. (Dkts. 30, 31, 32.) The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motions, finds and ORDERS as follows:

(1) Plaintiff's motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 (Dkt. 30) is DENIED. Plaintiff seeks a change of venue "away from the corrupt City and Courts of Seattle," including the federal courts. While plaintiff asserts that a change of venue would be in the "interest of justice," he offers no evidence or argument to support this assertion. Plaintiff's conclusory allegation that all courts in Seattle are corrupt is simply insufficient to justify a change of venue.

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S
PENDING MOTIONS - 1

1 (2) Plaintiff's motion to challenge clerks of the court (Dkt. 31) is DENIED. Plaintiff,
2 appears to take issue with the fact that the clerk who sent plaintiff a complaint form on which to
3 file his amended complaint inserted the word "amended" on the form. Plaintiff believes this was
4 improper, and he expresses a desire to write out his own amended complaint. Plaintiff also
5 asserts in his motion that the clerks of this Court are purposely sending him unsealed mail.

6 Plaintiff offers no evidence that the clerk has acted improperly in relation to his case.
7 The amended complaint form provided by the clerk's office is perfectly acceptable for use in this
8 Court. However, if plaintiff would prefer to submit an amended complaint without using the
9 form, that is acceptable as well so long as any amended complaint contains all of the information
10 required by the form. With respect to the issue of unsealed mail, plaintiff is advised that all mail
11 sent from the Court is properly sealed before mailing. If plaintiff has concerns about the
12 condition of the mail he is receiving at the King County Jail, he should pursue those concerns
13 through appropriate channels at that facility.

14 (3) Plaintiff's motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A (Dkt. 32) is DENIED. Plaintiff
15 asserts in his motion that the Court has intentionally paraphrased his motions, complaints and
16 other moving papers incorrectly, and he insists that the Court follow the law. Plaintiff goes on to
17 explain the nature of his case, but he fails to make clear where he believes the Court has erred. If
18 plaintiff believes the Court has mischaracterized one or more of his previous submissions, he
19 may so advise the Court, but he must do so with specificity. To the extent plaintiff believes the
20 Court has mischaracterized his claims, or the nature of this action, he has the opportunity to
21 make any necessary clarifications in his second amended complaint should he elect to file one.

22 (4) The Court notes that the deadline for plaintiff to file his second amended
23 complaint has passed. Given that the Court has now addressed the issues of immediate concern

1 to plaintiff, the Court deems it appropriate to grant plaintiff a final extension of time to file his
2 second amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff is directed to file his second amended
3 complaint not later than **May 22, 2017**. Failure to file a second amended complaint by this
4 deadline will result in a recommendation that the instant action be dismissed.

5 (5) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff and to the Honorable
6 Richard A. Jones.

7 DATED this 26th day of April, 2017.

8 
9

10 JAMES P. DONOHUE
11 Chief United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23